

International Principles and Standards for the Practice of Ecological Restoration: An Overview

Florida International University March 5, 2020



George D. Gann www.regionalconservation.org www.ser.org



Chief Conservation Strategist

International Policy Lead



My Neighborhood



George and Bethanie Walder, SER ED, Washington D.C., 2018

Global and Local Perspectives





World Conference on Ecological Restoration Cape Town, South Africa 2019





Restoration site, No Name Key National Key Deer Refuge, FL, USA



- 1. Brief History of the SER Standards Process
- 2. 2nd Edition Launch and Introduction
- 3. Eight Principles
- 4. Additional Tools
- 5. Questions and Discussion





1. Brief History

International Standards for the Practice of Ecological Restoration

- •1st published in 2016
- Built on Australian template
- Adapted for consistency with SER foundation documents, including Ecological Restoration for Protected Areas
- Reviewed by two dozen external reviewers from around the world
- •Designed to be a living document
- •Four authors from Australia + United States







The standards are applicable in all types of ecosystems and across all sectors

Slide courtesy Tein McDonald

Main Questions



Decades of discussion regarding:

- Which target?
- What degree of recovery?
- **Direct** or **indirect** activity?

Camp 1:

Camp 2:

'Inclusive at all costs'

Concerned the movement will lose relevance if all related efforts are not encouraged 'Raise the bar at all costs'

Concerned full inclusion will devalue the term "ecological restoration"

Dual needs for Ecological Restoration

"...global society must secure a **net gain** in the extent and functioning of **native ecosystems** by investing not only in environmental protection, but also in environmental repair including ecological restoration."

We must deliver BOTH

- Biodiversity Conservation
- Ecosystem Services



Why Now?

Global Initiatives & Targets

2016

- Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+, UNFCCC)
- •UN Convention on Biological Diversity Aichi Biodiversity Targets/post-2020 Targets
- •UN Convention to Combat Desertification, Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN) program
- Bonn Challenge/New York Declaration on Forests, expansion of Forest Landscape Restoration (FLR) concept
- •UN 2030 Sustainable Development Goals

2019

- Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES)
- •UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration from 2021-2030



CBD STAPER – 4 GROUPS, 24 STEPS



Distr. GENERAL

CBD/COP/DEC/XIII/5 10 December 2016

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY Thirteenth meeting Cancun, Mexico, 4-17 December 2016 Agenda item 10

DECISION ADOPTED BY THE CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

XIII/5. Ecosystem restoration: short-term action plan

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling Article 8(f) and decisions XI/16 and XII/19,

Collaborations CBD STAPER Companion





FLR Tools and Partnerships



World Resources Institute, IUCN, University of Maryland, 2009



THE BUSINESS OF PLANTING TREES

A Growing Investment Opportunity



WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE



SOFIA FARUQI, ANDREW WU, ERIKS BROLIS, ANDRÉS ANCHONDO ORTEGA, AND ALAN BATISTA

2018

"There has never been a better time to **invest in land restoration**."

"Restoring degraded land has the potential to become **big business**."

"Some entrepreneurs are betting that a **huge new business opportunity** for natural carbon capture and sequestration will emerge as more governments charge a fee for emissions that drive climate change."

1st Edition Standards Launch December 2016



INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS FOR THE PRACTICE OF ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION – INCLUDING PRINCIPLES AND KEY CONCEPTS

FIRST EDITION: December 2016

Tein McDonald, George D. Gann, Justin Jonson, Kingsley W. Dixon







George Gann (IRC, SER)

Tein McDonald (Society for Ecological Restoration Australasia, Australia)







Standards Review

- After publication of the Standards, SER underwent nearly three years of extensive internal and external review, including, e.g., listening sessions, web surveys, knowledge cafés, and published critiques and responses. Four key topics were identified for improvement in the 2nd Edition:
 - strengthen the discussion of cultural-social elements including traditional cultural ecosystems
 - clarify and expand the text related to restoration targets so that readers better understand the need to allow for temporal change
 - improve the restorative continuum with respect to the ecosystem-landscape nexus
 - consider provenance issues for seeds and other propagules

Restoration Ecology

STRATEGIC ISSUES ARTICLE

On principles and standards in ecological restoration

Eric Higgs1-30, Jim Harris1, Stephen Murphy4, Keith Bowers1, Richard Hobbs6, Willis Jenkins1, Jeremy Kidweit¹, Nikita Lopoukhine², Bethany Sollereder¹⁰, Katherine Suding¹ Allen Thompson¹⁷, Steven Whisenam¹⁷

The Society for Ecological Restoration (SER) has long dehated how to define best practices. We argue that a princ rs more desibility for restoration practitioners than a standardu-based a stage of restoration, and functions more effectively at a global level. Howe e approach to professional practice is sufficient. Prin iples and standards yau and do operate affi ev are coordinated in a transparent and syster red by principles function in a way that is contextual and evolving. Wi mance standards may lead to a na cesbegical and enviro sention policy by SER and other agencies and organizations can remain open and the Key words and a of othics, principles, professional practice, maps of restaration, standards

only a remarkable toor for the office present tasks of h

The Society for Feelingical II sion of policies to paide practice. From distri to 1980s and 1990s about the definition of restors the SER International Primer on Ecological Berlin

storation Ecology

The SER Standards: a globally relevant and inclusive tool for improving restoration practice-a reply to Higgs et al.

George D. Gann¹, Tein McDonald², James Aronson³, Kingsley W. Dixon⁴, Bethanie Walde James G. Hallett⁶⁷, Kris Decleer⁴, Donald A. Falk⁹, Emily K. Gonzales¹⁰, Carolina Murcia Cara R. Nelson¹², Alan J. Unwin

Higgs et al., this article charillos the content and intent of the Society for Ez-ls for the Practice of Ecological Restoration, Higgs et al. expressed concern th med by principles and risk disordranchising some practitioners by narrow

Key words: ecological restoration targets, global re



2. Launch and Introduction of 2nd Edition





INTERNATIONAL PRINCIPLES AND STANDARDS FOR THE PRACTICE OF ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION Launched at SER2019 in South Africa, simultaneously in *Restoration Ecology* and by SER.





16 authors7 countries5 continents

Core writing team of George Gann, Tein McDonald, and Bethanie Walder

Jim Hallet steered publication in RE in coordination with Valter Amaral

George D. Gann, Tein McDonald, Bethanie Walder, James Aronson, Cara R. Nelson, Justin Jonson, James G. Hallett, Cristina Eisenberg, Manuel R. Guariguata, Junguo Liu, Fangyuan Hua, Cristian Echeverría, Emily Gonzales, Nancy Shaw, Kris Decleer, and Kingsley W. Dixon International Principles and Standards for the Practice of Ecological Restoration. Second Edition

Introduction

- Eight Principles that underpin ecological restoration
- •Standards of Practice for planning and implementing ecological restoration projects
- Leading practices
- Glossary
- Appendices
 - Selection of seeds and other propagules for restoration
 - Project evaluation templates



Section 1: Introduction

- •Ecological restoration as a means of conserving biodiversity and improving human wellbeing and its role in broader global initiatives
- •Need for principles and standards
- Background (approach)
- What's new in this edition
- Key definitions and terms
- Underpinning assumptions



Key Updates in 2nd Edition

- •Title modified; Principles and Key Concepts are merged into a single section of "Eight Principles that Underpin Ecological Restoration"
- •The principles are organized to highlight social-economic and cultural components, including a new "Social Benefits Wheel"
- Scaling-up ecological restoration and the relationship between ecological restoration and allied activities are expanded in the principles
- •Key topics related to reference models and restoration approaches are in a new Section IV on Leading Practices, including content on:
 - Developing the reference model
 - Identifying appropriate ecological restoration approaches
 - The role of ecological restoration in global restoration initiatives
- Sourcing of seeds and other propagules are included as an appendix



Key Definitions and Terms

- •Ecological restoration (ER) is the process of assisting the recovery of an ecosystem that has been degraded, damaged or destroyed. (originally published in SER Primer in 2002)
- •Ecological restoration is commonly used to describe both the process and the outcome sought for an ecosystem, but the Standards reserve the term **restoration** for the activity undertaken and **recovery** for the outcome sought or achieved.
- •The Standards define ecological restoration as any **activity** with the goal of achieving substantial ecosystem recovery relative to an appropriate **reference model**, regardless of the time required to achieve recovery.
- •Other key definitions include **full recovery**, **partial recovery**, **key ecosystem attributes**.



Underpinning Assumptions

e.g., "...while compensation may be mandated as a result of ecosystem loss or degradation, the potential for ecological restoration should never be invoked as a justification for destroying or damaging existing native ecosystems or for unsustainable use."



3. Eight Principles

Section 2: Eight Principles that Underpin Ecological Restoration





Ecological restoration engages stakeholders





Social Benefits Wheel

Table 1.

Sample social five-star system for evaluating progress toward social goals in a restoration project or program. Social goals will be many and varied. Not all elements in this table will be relevant to all projects. The Social Benefits Wheel can be applied to small- or large-scale projects, with scale used as a multiplier of outcomes, rather than being itself an attribute.

ATTRIBUTE	*	**	***	****	*****
Stakeholder engagement	Stakeholders identified and made aware of project and its rationale. Ongoing communication strategy prepared.	Key stakehold- ers supportive and involved in project planning phase.	Number of stakeholders, support, and involvement increasing at start of imple- mentation phase.	Number of stakeholders, support, and involvement consolidating throughout implementation phase.	Number of stakeholders, support, and involvement optimal, and self-manage- ment and succession arrangements are in place.
Benefits distribution	Benefits to local communities negotiated, ensuring equitable opportunities and reinforce- ment of traditional cultural relationships to the site.	Benefits to local communities starting and equitable opportunities maintained. Traditional cultural elements integrated, as appropriate, into project planning.	Benefits to locals at an intermediate level and equitable opportunities maintained. Any traditional cultural elements well secured within project imple- mentation.	Benefits to locals at a high level and equitable opportunities maintained. Substantial integration of any traditional cultural elements, increasing reconciliation prospects.	Benefits to locals and equitable opportunities very high, with optimal integration of any traditional cultural elements, substantially contributing to reconciliation and social justice.

Building a Coalition for Restoring the Gold Coast



Official Collaboration Atlantic Community High School Boca Raton Community High School City of Boynton Beach City of Delray Beach Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden Keep Palm Beach County Beautiful Gulf Stream School Milagro Center Ocean Ridge Garden Club Sea Turtle Adventures And more

Funding Committed

Impact 100 Palm Beach County New York Life Community Impact Grant City of Boca Raton Beach Property Owners' Association Town of Ocean Ridge New York Life Foundation (Green Delray) Erin L. Deady Law (Green Delray) Surfrider Foundation, Palm Beach County Individual Donors

Principle 2

Ecological restoration draws on many types of knowledge

The practice of ecological restoration requires a high degree of ecological knowledge that can be drawn from **practitioner** experience, **Traditional Ecological Knowledge, Local Ecological Knowledge**, and **scientific discovery**.



Recognition of Local Knowledge is Crucial



Principle 3

Ecological restoration practice is informed by native reference ecosystems, while considering environmental change

Reference ecosystem – a native ecosystem able to act as a **model** for ecological restoration (**as distinct from a reference site**). A reference ecosystem usually represents a nondegraded version of the ecosystem adjusted to accommodate changed or predicted environmental conditions. Can include **traditional cultural ecosystems**.





Key Ecosystem Attributes

Table 2.

Description of the key ecosystem attributes used to characterize the reference ecosystem, as well as to evaluate baseline condition, set project goals, and monitor degree of recovery at a restoration site. These attributes are suited to monitoring in Principle 5 and the Five-star System discussed in Principle 6.

ATTRIBUTE	DESCRIPTION
Absence of threats	Direct threats to the ecosystem such as overutilization, contamination, or invasive species are absent.
Physical conditions	Environmental conditions (including the physical and chemical conditions of soil and water, and topography) required to sustain the target ecosystem are present.
Species composition	Native species characteristic of the appropriate reference ecosystem are present, whereas undesirable species are absent.
Structural diversity	Appropriate diversity of key structural components, including demographic stages, trophic levels, vegetation strata and spatial habitat diversity are present.
Ecosystem function	Appropriate levels of growth and productivity, nutrient cycling, decomposition, species interactions, and rates of disturbance.
External exchanges	The ecosystem is appropriately integrated into its larger landscape or aquatic context through abiotic and biotic flows and exchanges.

Climate Change and Insurmountable Environmental Change







Ecological restoration supports ecosystem recovery processes

All restoration interventions are designed to **assist natural processes** of recovery that ultimately are carried out by the effects of time on physical processes and the responses and interactions of the biota as they go through their life cycles.



Area without restoration

 National Key Deer Refuge, Big Pine Key, Florida

Area burned, April 2018, following 1-year restoration activities

Principle 5

Ecological restoration is assessed against clear goals and objectives using measurable indicators

Both ecological and social attributes of the project should be included in the planning phase. **Indicators** can then be used to monitor progress over time, applying adaptive management approaches. **Resources** for appropriate monitoring must to be allocated during the planning phase.



Uncoupling the reference ecosystem from the target

The reference model should describe the native ecosystem as if full recovery were the target.

In projects in which **full recovery** is possible and desirable, the ecological target will align with the reference model.

In projects aiming for **partial recovery**, the **target and reference model will not fully align**. For example, the target ecosystem may lack some species or include non-native surrogates, or the ecological targets may be modified to meet social targets.







Ecological restoration seeks the highest level of recovery possible

Ecological restoration aims for the highest practicable level of recovery appropriate to the circumstances. Recovery can be assessed using the "5-Star Recovery Scale" and the "Ecological Recovery Wheel"



Principle 6

Table 4.

Sample 1-5 star recovery scale interpreted in the context of the six key ecosystem attributes used to measure progress along a trajectory of recovery. This 5-star scale represents a gradient from very low to very high similarity to the reference model. As a generic framework, users must develop indicators and monitoring metrics specific to the ecosystem and sub-attributes they identify.

ATTRIBUTE	INIBUTE ★ ★★ ★★★		****	****	
Absence of threats	Further deterio- ration discontin- ued, and site has tenure and management secured.	Threats from adjacent areas beginning to be managed or mitigated.	All adjacent threats man- aged or mitigated to a low extent.	All adjacent threats man- aged or mitigated to an intermediate extent.	All threats managed or mitigated to high extent.
Physical conditions	Gross physical and chemical problems remediated (e.g., excess nitrogen, altered pH, high salinity, contam- ination or other damage to soil or water).	Substrate chemical and physical properties on track	Substrate stabilized within natural range and supporting growth of characteristic native biota.	Substrate securely maintaining conditions suitable for ongoing growth and recruitment of characteristic native biota.	Substrate exhibiting physical and chemical characteristics highly similar to that of the reference ecosystem with evidence they can indefinitely sustain species and processes.
Species composition	Some colonizing native species present (e.g., ~2% of species in the reference ecosystem). Moderate onsite threat from nonnative invasive or undesirable species. Regeneration niches available.	A small subset of characteristic native species establishing (e.g., ~10% of reference), Low to moderate onsite threat from nonnative invasive or undesirable species.	A subset of key native species (e.g., ~25% of reference) establishing over substantial proportions of the site. Very low onsite threat from nonnative invasive or undesirable species.	Substantial diversity of characteristic native biota (e.g., ~60% of reference) present across the site and representing a wide diversity of species groups. Very low onsite threat from nonnative invasive or undesirable species.	High diversity of characteristic native species present (e.g., >80% of reference, with high similarity to the reference ecosystem, improved potential for colonization of more native species over time. No known onsite threat from undesir-



5-Star Scale

(examples from 3 of 6 key attributes)



Ecological Recovery Wheel







Case Study: New South Wales, Australia





Slide courtesy Tein McDonald

Principle 6

Calibrating the recovery wheel

ECOSYSTEM FUNCTION						STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY			
Attribute	Survey	Base index	Fin	dings		Attribute	Survey	Base index	
Productivity and cycling Habitat and	Macrophytes Macro-	Vegetation cover within river banks Count of feeding functional	STRUCT	URAL DIVERSITY		Vegetation strata	Landscape, macrophytes	Ratio of aquatic and terrestrial habitat layers to target level	
interactions Resilience/ recruitment	invertebrates Macro- invertebrates	groups (guilds) Number of taxa recorded for each functional group	NECTON PAGE STR	SAIC SAIC	Socore	Trophic levels	Macro- invertebrates, vertebrates	Count of levels in the trophic web	
1	ABSENCE	OF THREATS	N CONTRACT	HI BON	R. Po	Spatial	Landscape	Ratio of seral to climax	
Attribute	Survey	Base index	INTERATATA	1 2000	RABLE P	mosaic		successional stages	
Contam.	Diatoms	Target-adjusted Diatom	arget-adjusted Diatom		ANIMALO		SPECIES ASSEMBLAGE		
		Trophic Index (TDI)	C RESILIENCE	HXXH	UDESIRABLE B	Attribute	Survey	Base index	
Invasive- species	Macro- invertebrates, diatoms,	Invasivity-adjusted ratio of taxa designated as invasive	MECRUITMENT		SUBSTRATE	Desirable plants	Macrophytes	Ratio of recorded taxa to assemblage mentioned in designation	
Over- utilization	Macro- invertebrates,	Ratio of taxa without resistance form	ABSENT WASNES	HX	SUBSTRATE SNOE	Desirable animals	Macro- invertebrates	Number of recorded Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera taxa	
Attribute	EXTERNAL Survey	EXCHANGES Base index	State of the sol of the sol	NIN	ALCON DE LO	Undesirable species	Macro- invertebrates	Ratio of eutrophilic and polysabrobic taxa	
Landscape	Landscape	dPc, distance-and	The S South	802 00 F	10 30		PHYSICAL	CONDITIONS	
flows		probability-adjusted	15 3		PM	Attribute	Survey	Base index	
0 1		habitats	progress to t	AL EXCHANGES		Substrate	Macrophytes	Target-adjusted RMNI (River Macrophyte Nutrient Index)	
Gene flows	invertebrates	Ratio of aerial active and aquatic active taxa		variation	Decline in	Substrate physical	Macro- invertebrates	PSI (Proportion of sediment- sensitive invertebrates)	
Habitat links	Walkover	Circuit theory model simulation of river substrate and flow distribution	5 4 3 2 1 Recovery level	provement er control	Decline over control	Water chemo- physical	Macro- invertebrates	WHPT (Whalley, Hawkes, Paisley & Trigg) taxon score average	

Fioratti, Marco. 2017. Powering the Recovery Wheel: The development of a framework of quantitative tools to assess the ecological response of a river to restoration. MSc Thesis. Cranfield University.



MERCES



MERCES: Marine Ecosystem Restoration in Changing European Seas.

Principle 7

Ecological restoration gains cumulative value when applied at large scales

Some ecosystem **processes** (such as gene flow, colonization, predation and ecological disturbances) function at larger scales (larger aquatic environment, landscape, watershed, etc.), as do degradation processes.

Some species may have large **minimum habitat areas** (or greater trophic complexity) than is provided by small scale projects unless these are linked within a larger program or to protected areas.

Substantially increasing the scale of **carbon sequestration** through extensive additional plants and animal biomass (including biomass in soils) is also urgently needed.

Thus, ecological restoration needs to be at scales (these may be at the hundreds to the thousands, to millions of hectares) that provide needed environmental and ecological benefits.



Principle 8

Ecological restoration is part of a continuum of restorative activities

Ecological restoration is **one part** of a range or family of restorative activities that can be conceived as occurring along a continuum. The concept of a restorative continuum ensures a holistic approach to repairing the world's ecosystems.

Restorative activities reduce degradation or improve conditions for the partial or full recovery of ecosystems.

All activities that are restorative, no matter the scale, are important and are valued.





REDUCED IMPACTS
REMEDIATION
REMEDIATION
REHABILITATION
ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION



4. Additional Tools

Section 3: Standards of Practice for Planning & Implementation

- I. Planning and Design
- II. Implementation
- III. Monitoring, documentation, evaluation, and reporting
- IV. Post-implementation maintenance



Section 4: Leading Practices

- •Developing the reference model
- •Identifying appropriate ecological restoration approaches
- •The role of ecological restoration in global restoration initiatives





Developing the reference model

The reference model should account for multiple ecosystem attributes and their variation within the target ecosystem, as well as overall ecosystem complexity and dynamics (i.e., changes over time).

Best practices include:

- •Utilizing a broad set of ecosystem attributes
- Recognizing complexity
- Incorporating change
- •Using multiple reference sites
- •Using multiple reference models when appropriate



Identifying Appropriate Ecological Restoration Approaches

Three **approaches** may be used singly or in combination if appropriate. All of them utilize natural recovery processes and require ongoing adaptive management until recovery is attained.

- •Natural (or spontaneous) regeneration
- Assisted regeneration
- Reconstruction



The Role of Ecological Restoration in Global Initiatives

- Ecological restoration plays a critical role in a host of global restoration initiatives
- Ecological restoration is being scaled up
- •Large scale initiatives often utilize landscape restoration approaches, including:
 - Landscape restoration
 - Forest landscape restoration
 - Ecological restoration
- •For ecological restoration to be included in landscape restoration the restoration targets and goals must meet human needs (though they are not limited to meeting human needs)
- •When delivered at the landscape level, integrated approaches that incorporate multiple types of restorative activities along the continuum are most likely to be successful



Glossary and Appendices

Section 5: Glossary

- •Appendix 1: Selection of seeds and other propagules for restoration
- Appendix 2: Blank social benefits and ecological recovery wheel templates
- •Supplement 1 (online): Generic principles that underpin ecological restoration and allied activities



Selecting Seeds & Other Propagules

- Genetic considerations for sourcing seeds and other propagules
 - the degree of local adaptation varies by species, population, and habitat
- •Climate change and propagule sourcing
 - Addressing changes in the climate "envelope" or "niche"
- Tools and future directions
- Restoring connectivity and facilitating species migration
- Includes considerations for animals





Provenancing strategies for revegetation (reprinted from Prober et al. 2015). The star indicates the site to be revegetated and the circles represent native populations used as germplasm sources. The circle size indicates the relative quantities of germplasm included from each population at the revegetation site.

These strategies can also be applied to animals and soil biota.



Appendix 2



WWW.SER.ORG/STANDARDS

Recovery Wheel

You can access the recovery wheel through several resources.

- Download a PDF of the blank recovery wheel and data sheet here.
- An interactive version of the recovery wheel is available online.
- An Excel version of the recovery wheel is available here.
- An interactive wheel is available as an app for Android, iPhone, and iPad.

E-learning Course

SER also developed a free e-learning course to introduce practitioners and professionals to the core components of restoration and how to implement the Standards on the ground. This course is currently being adapted to reflect updates from the second edition of the Standards.

This course is free and available online here.

Available in Chinese More Languages Coming Soon

INTERNATIONAL PRINCIPLES AND STANDARDS FOR THE PRACTICE OF ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION. SECOND EDITION

生态恢复实践的国际原则与标准 (第二版)

George D. Gann, Tein McDonald, Bethanie Walder, James Aronson, Cara R. Nelson, Justin Jonson, James G. Hallett, Cristina Eisenberg, Manuel R. Guariguata, Junguo Liu, Fangyuan Hua, Cristian Echeverria, Emily Gonzales, Nancy Shaw, Kris DeCleer, Kingsley W Dixon, #

刘俊国 王丹 译

Catalan French Korean Malay Mongolian Portuguese Spanish Ukrainian



THANK YOU

GANN@REGIONALCONSERVATION.ORG